

Suslin's Problem and Martin Axiom

23 July 2014

Suslin's Problem

Is there a linearly ordered set which satisfies the countable chain condition (ccc) and is not separable?

Suslin's Problem

Is there a linearly ordered set which satisfies the countable chain condition (ccc) and is not separable?

Such a set is called a Suslin line. The existence of a Suslin line is equivalent to the existence of a normal Suslin tree.

Tree

A *tree* is a poset $(P, <)$ such that $\forall x \in T \{y: y < x\}$ is well ordered by $<$.

Tree

A *tree* is a poset $(P, <)$ such that $\forall x \in T \{y: y < x\}$ is well ordered by $<$.

Suslin Tree

A tree is called a *Suslin tree* if:

- 1 $height(T) = \omega_1$
- 2 every branch in T is at most countable
- 3 every antichain in T is at most countable

A Suslin tree is called *normal* if:

- 1 T has a unique least point
- 2 each level of T is at most countable
- 3 x not maximal has infinitely many immediate successors
- 4 $\forall x \in T$ there is some $z > x$ at each greater level
- 5 if $o(x) = o(y) = \beta$ with β limit and $\{z: z < x\} = \{z: z < y\}$ then $x = y$

MA_k

If a poset $(P, <)$ satisfies ccc and \mathcal{D} is a collection of at most k dense subsets of P , then there exists a \mathcal{D} -generic filter on P .

MA_k

If a poset $(P, <)$ satisfies ccc and \mathcal{D} is a collection of at most k dense subsets of P , then there exists a \mathcal{D} -generic filter on P .

Lemma

If MA_{\aleph_1} holds then there is no Suslin tree.

MA_k

If a poset $(P, <)$ satisfies ccc and \mathcal{D} is a collection of at most k dense subsets of P , then there exists a \mathcal{D} -generic filter on P .

Lemma

If MA_{\aleph_1} holds then there is no Suslin tree.

Solovay-Tennenbaum

There is a model \mathcal{M} of ZFC such that $\mathcal{M} \models MA + 2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_1$.

Let P be a forcing notion in \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq P$ a \mathcal{M} -generic filter.

Let P be a forcing notion in \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq P$ a \mathcal{M} -generic filter.
Let Q be a poset in $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1]$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq Q$ a $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1]$ -generic filter.

Let P be a forcing notion in \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq P$ a \mathcal{M} -generic filter.
Let Q be a poset in $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1]$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq Q$ a $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1]$ -generic filter.
I want to show that there exists a \mathcal{G} \mathcal{M} -generic filter on R such
that:

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1][\mathcal{G}_2] = \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$$

We will define this filter using Boolean algebras.

Let B be a complete Boolean algebra in \mathcal{M} .

Let B be a complete Boolean algebra in \mathcal{M} .
Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{M}^B$ such that
 $\|\mathbf{C} \text{ is a complete Boolean algebra}\| = 1$.

Let B be a complete Boolean algebra in \mathcal{M} .

Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{M}^B$ such that

$\|\mathbf{C}$ is a complete Boolean algebra $\| = 1$.

D is a maximal subset in \mathcal{M}^B such that:

- 1 $\|c \in \mathbf{C}\| = 1 \ \forall c \in D$
- 2 $c_1, c_2 \in D, c_1 \neq c_2 \Rightarrow \|c_1 = c_2\| < 1$

Let B be a complete Boolean algebra in \mathcal{M} .

Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{M}^B$ such that

$\|\mathbf{C} \text{ is a complete Boolean algebra}\| = 1$.

D is a maximal subset in \mathcal{M}^B such that:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|c \in \mathbf{C}\| = 1 \quad \forall c \in D$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad c_1, c_2 \in D, c_1 \neq c_2 \Rightarrow \|c_1 = c_2\| < 1$$

I define $+_D$:

$$\forall c_1, c_2 \in D \exists c \in D \text{ such that } \|c = c_1 +_D c_2\| = 1$$

this c is unique and I define $c = c_1 +_D c_2$.

The operations \cdot_D and $-_D$ are defined similarly.

Let B be a complete Boolean algebra in \mathcal{M} .

Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{M}^B$ such that

$\|\mathbf{C} \text{ is a complete Boolean algebra}\| = 1$.

D is a maximal subset in \mathcal{M}^B such that:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|c \in \mathbf{C}\| = 1 \quad \forall c \in D$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad c_1, c_2 \in D, c_1 \neq c_2 \Rightarrow \|c_1 = c_2\| < 1$$

I define $+_D$:

$$\forall c_1, c_2 \in D \exists c \in D \text{ such that } \|c = c_1 +_D c_2\| = 1$$

this c is unique and I define $c = c_1 +_D c_2$.

The operations \cdot_D and $-_D$ are defined similarly.

With this operations D is a complete Boolean algebra (in \mathcal{M}).

I define $B * \mathbf{C} = D$.

Theorem

Let B be a complete Boolean algebra in \mathcal{M} , let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{M}^B$ be such that $\|\mathbf{C} \text{ is a complete Boolean algebra}\| = 1$ and let $D = B * \mathbf{C}$ such that B is a complete subalgebra of D . Then

- 1 If \mathcal{G}_1 is an \mathcal{M} -generic ultrafilter on B , $C = i_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathbf{C})$ and \mathcal{G}_2 is an $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1]$ -generic ultrafilter on C then there is an \mathcal{M} -generic ultrafilter \mathcal{G} on $B * \mathbf{C}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1][\mathcal{G}_2] = \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$$

- 2 If \mathcal{G} is an \mathcal{M} -generic ultrafilter on $B * \mathbf{C}$. $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G} \cap B$ and $C = i_{\mathcal{G}_1}(\mathbf{C})$ then there is an $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1]$ -generic ultrafilter \mathcal{G}_2 on C such that:

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_1][\mathcal{G}_2] = \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$$

Lemma

B satisfies ccc and $\| \mathbf{C} \text{ satisfies ccc} \| = 1$ iff $B * \mathbf{C}$ satisfies ccc.

Let α be a limit ordinal.

Let $\{B_i\}_{i < \alpha}$ a sequence such that

- B_i is a complete Boolean algebra
- if $i < j$ B_i is a complete subalgebra of B_j

Let α be a limit ordinal.

Let $\{B_i\}_{i<\alpha}$ a sequence such that

- B_i is a complete Boolean algebra
- if $i < j$ B_i is a complete subalgebra of B_j

Direct limit

The completion B of $\bigcup_{i<\alpha} B_i$ is called *direct limit* of $\{B_i\}$.
 $B = \text{limdir}_{i \leq \alpha} B_i$.

Let α be a limit ordinal.

Let $\{B_i\}_{i < \alpha}$ a sequence such that

- B_i is a complete Boolean algebra
- if $i < j$ B_i is a complete subalgebra of B_j

Direct limit

The completion B of $\bigcup_{i < \alpha} B_i$ is called *direct limit* of $\{B_i\}$.
 $B = \text{limdir}_{i \leq \alpha} B_i$.

Lemma

Then if each B_i is k -saturated then B is k -saturated.
In particular if each B_i satisfies ccc then B satisfies ccc.

Let \mathcal{M} be a transitive model of $ZFC + GCH$.
We will construct a complete Boolean algebra B such that if \mathcal{G}
is an \mathcal{M} -generic filter on B then

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}] \models MA + 2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_2$$

B_α

Let $\{B_\alpha\}$ be a sequence such that:

- 1 $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow B_\alpha$ is a complete subalgebra of B_β
- 2 γ limit $\Rightarrow B_\gamma = \text{limdir}_{i \leq \gamma} B_i$
- 3 each B_α satisfies ccc
- 4 $|B_\alpha| \leq \aleph_2$

B_α

Let $\{B_\alpha\}$ be a sequence such that:

- 1 $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow B_\alpha$ is a complete subalgebra of B_β
- 2 γ limit $\Rightarrow B_\gamma = \text{limdir}_{i < \gamma} B_i$
- 3 each B_α satisfies ccc
- 4 $|B_\alpha| \leq \aleph_2$

I define $B = \text{limdir}_{i < \omega_2} B_i$.

B_α

Let $\{B_\alpha\}$ be a sequence such that:

- 1 $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow B_\alpha$ is a complete subalgebra of B_β
- 2 γ limit $\Rightarrow B_\gamma = \limdir_{i \leq \gamma} B_i$
- 3 each B_α satisfies ccc
- 4 $|B_\alpha| \leq \aleph_2$

I define $B = \limdir_{i < \omega_2} B_i$.

So we have:

- 1 B satisfies ccc
- 2 $|B| = \aleph_2$

B_α

Let $\{B_\alpha\}$ be a sequence such that:

- 1 $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow B_\alpha$ is a complete subalgebra of B_β
- 2 γ limit $\Rightarrow B_\gamma = \limdir_{i \leq \gamma} B_i$
- 3 each B_α satisfies ccc
- 4 $|B_\alpha| \leq \aleph_2$

I define $B = \limdir_{i < \omega_2} B_i$.

So we have:

- 1 B satisfies ccc
- 2 $|B| = \aleph_2$

$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ preserves cardinals and $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}] \models 2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_2$ (Jech, lemma 19.4).

Let $\alpha \mapsto (\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ canonical mapping of ω_2 onto $\omega_2 \times \omega_2$.

Let $\alpha \mapsto (\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ canonical mapping of ω_2 onto $\omega_2 \times \omega_2$.
 $B_0 = \{0, 1\}$ and $B_\gamma = \text{limdir}_{i < \gamma} B_i$ for γ limit.

Let $\alpha \mapsto (\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ canonical mapping of ω_2 onto $\omega_2 \times \omega_2$.
 $B_0 = \{0, 1\}$ and $B_\gamma = \lim_{\text{dir}}_{i < \gamma} B_i$ for γ limit.
I construct $B_{\alpha+1}$.

Let $\alpha \mapsto (\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ canonical mapping of ω_2 onto $\omega_2 \times \omega_2$.

$B_0 = \{0, 1\}$ and $B_\gamma = \limdir_{i < \gamma} B_i$ for γ limit.

I construct $B_{\alpha+1}$.

$D = B_{\beta_\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{\gamma_\alpha}^D$ γ_α -th relationship on $\check{\omega}_1$, $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{M}^{B_\alpha}$.

Let $\alpha \mapsto (\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ canonical mapping of ω_2 onto $\omega_2 \times \omega_2$.

$B_0 = \{0, 1\}$ and $B_\gamma = \text{limdir}_{i < \gamma} B_i$ for γ limit.

I construct $B_{\alpha+1}$.

$D = B_{\beta_\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{\gamma_\alpha}^D$ γ_α -th relationship on $\check{\omega}_1$, $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{M}^{B_\alpha}$.

$b = \|\mathbf{R}$ is a partial ordering of $\check{\omega}_1$ and $(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R})$ satisfies ccc $\|$.

Let $\alpha \mapsto (\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ canonical mapping of ω_2 onto $\omega_2 \times \omega_2$.

$B_0 = \{0, 1\}$ and $B_\gamma = \text{limdir}_{i < \gamma} B_i$ for γ limit.

I construct $B_{\alpha+1}$.

$D = B_{\beta_\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{\gamma_\alpha}^D$ γ_α -th relationship on $\check{\omega}_1$, $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{M}^{B_\alpha}$.

$b = \|\mathbf{R} \text{ is a partial ordering of } \check{\omega}_1 \text{ and } (\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc}\|$.

Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{M}^{B_\alpha}$ be the complete Boolean algebra such that:

- $\|\mathbf{C} \text{ is the trivial algebra}\| = -b$
- $\|\mathbf{C} = r.o.(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R})\| = b$

Let $\alpha \mapsto (\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha)$ canonical mapping of ω_2 onto $\omega_2 \times \omega_2$.

$B_0 = \{0, 1\}$ and $B_\gamma = \text{limdir}_{i < \gamma} B_i$ for γ limit.

I construct $B_{\alpha+1}$.

$D = B_{\beta_\alpha}$ and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{\gamma_\alpha}^D$ γ_α -th relationship on $\check{\omega}_1$, $\mathbf{R} \in \mathcal{M}^{B_\alpha}$.

$b = \|\mathbf{R}$ is a partial ordering of $\check{\omega}_1$ and $(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R})$ satisfies ccc $\|$.

Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{M}^{B_\alpha}$ be the complete Boolean algebra such that:

- $\|\mathbf{C}$ is the trivial algebra $\| = -b$
- $\|\mathbf{C} = r.o.(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R})\| = b$

I define $B_{\alpha+1} = B_\alpha * \mathbf{C}$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a generic ultrafilter on B and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{G} \cap B_\alpha$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a generic ultrafilter on B and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{G} \cap B_\alpha$.

Let $(P, <)$ be a poset in $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ that satisfies ccc, we assume $(P, <) = (\omega_1, \mathcal{R})$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a generic ultrafilter on B and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{G} \cap B_\alpha$.

Let $(P, <)$ be a poset in $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ that satisfies ccc, we assume $(P, <) = (\omega_1, \mathcal{R})$.

Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ be a collection of at most \aleph_1 dense subsets of ω_1 .

Let \mathcal{G} be a generic ultrafilter on B and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{G} \cap B_\alpha$.

Let $(P, <)$ be a poset in $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ that satisfies ccc, we assume $(P, <) = (\omega_1, \mathcal{R})$.

Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ be a collection of at most \aleph_1 dense subsets of ω_1 .

Lemma

If $X \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a subset of ω_1 then exists $\alpha < \omega_2$ such that $X \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a generic ultrafilter on B and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{G} \cap B_\alpha$.

Let $(P, <)$ be a poset in $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ that satisfies ccc, we assume $(P, <) = (\omega_1, \mathcal{R})$.

Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ be a collection of at most \aleph_1 dense subsets of ω_1 .

Lemma

If $X \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a subset of ω_1 then exists $\alpha < \omega_2$ such that $X \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$.

Let $\beta < \omega_2$ such that $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\beta]$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a generic ultrafilter on B and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{G} \cap B_\alpha$.

Let $(P, <)$ be a poset in $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ that satisfies ccc, we assume $(P, <) = (\omega_1, \mathcal{R})$.

Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ be a collection of at most \aleph_1 dense subsets of ω_1 .

Lemma

If $X \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a subset of ω_1 then exists $\alpha < \omega_2$ such that $X \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$.

Let $\beta < \omega_2$ such that $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\beta]$.

Let $\mathbf{R}_\gamma^{B_\beta} \in \mathcal{M}^{B_\beta}$ be a name for \mathcal{R} .

Let \mathcal{G} be a generic ultrafilter on B and $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \mathcal{G} \cap B_\alpha$.

Let $(P, <)$ be a poset in $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ that satisfies ccc, we assume $(P, <) = (\omega_1, \mathcal{R})$.

Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ be a collection of at most \aleph_1 dense subsets of ω_1 .

Lemma

If $X \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$ is a subset of ω_1 then exists $\alpha < \omega_2$ such that $X \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$.

Let $\beta < \omega_2$ such that $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\beta]$.

Let $\mathbf{R}_\gamma^{B_\beta} \in \mathcal{M}^{B_\beta}$ be a name for \mathcal{R} .

Let $\alpha < \omega_2$ be such that $\alpha \mapsto (\beta_\alpha, \gamma_\alpha) = (\beta, \gamma)$.

Now, since $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ is a submodel of $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$, we have

$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc}$

Now, since $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ is a submodel of $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$, we have

$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc}$

So we have $b = \|\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R} \text{ satisfies ccc}\| \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$.

Now, since $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ is a submodel of $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$, we have

$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc}$

So we have $b = \|\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R}\| \text{ satisfies ccc} \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$.

By construction $B_{\alpha+1} = B_\alpha * \mathbf{C}$ and $\|\mathbf{C} = r.o.(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R})\| = b$

Now, since $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ is a submodel of $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$, we have

$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc}$

So we have $b = \|(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc}\| \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$.

By construction $B_{\alpha+1} = B_\alpha * \mathbf{C}$ and $\|\mathbf{C} = r.o.(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R})\| = b$

Using a previous Theorem exists \mathcal{H} $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ -generic filter on (ω_1, R) such that

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_{\alpha+1}] = \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha][\mathcal{H}]$$

Now, since $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ is a submodel of $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}]$, we have

$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc} \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha] \models (\omega_1, \mathcal{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc}$

So we have $b = \|(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R}) \text{ satisfies ccc}\| \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$.

By construction $B_{\alpha+1} = B_\alpha * \mathbf{C}$ and $\|\mathbf{C} = r.o.(\check{\omega}_1, \mathbf{R})\| = b$

Using a previous Theorem exists \mathcal{H} $\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ -generic filter on (ω_1, R) such that

$$\mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_{\alpha+1}] = \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{G}_\alpha][\mathcal{H}]$$

So \mathcal{H} is \mathcal{D} -generic on (ω_1, R) and we conclude.